



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/890,064	10/31/2001	Carolyn Elizabeth Lister	01288.0016	4565	
7590 12/29/2004			EXAMINER		
Finnegan Henderson Farabow Garret & Dunner			HELMER, GEORGIA L		
1300 I Street NW Washington, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
washington, DC 20003			1638	1638	

DATE MAILED: 12/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/890,064	LISTER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Georgia L. Helmer	1638				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from by cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 September 2004</u> .					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)  Claim(s) 1-12 and 14 is/are pending in the appear 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-12 and 14 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen  2. Certified copies of the priority documen  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receiv nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)						
<ul> <li>2) Motice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1638

## Status of the Claims

- The Office acknowledges receipt of Applicants Response; dated 9 September
   2004.
- 2. Applicant has cancelled claims 13 and 15, and amended claims 1, 2, 7 and 10. Claims 1-12 and 14 are pending, and are examined in the instant action.
- 3. This action is made FINAL.
- 4. All rejections not addressed below have been withdrawn.
- 5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, second paragraph

6. Claims 1-12 and 14 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. This rejection is maintained for reasons of record. To the extent that this is a new rejection, it is necessitated by Applicant's amendment.

Claim 1 is unclear in that the method recites, sequentially, starts with (line 3) a method of transforming embryo cells, then goes to embryo tissue (line 6), to the embryos (line 7), transgenic plant cells (line 11), to the tissues (line 12), and to transgenic plant material. It is unclear what cells or tissue or explant is used in each step, where it came from and what it produces. This is a reason for the rejections based on lack of antecedent basis. Plant transformation methods, by necessity, since

Art Unit: 1638

they are carried out in vitro, follow an orderly sequence of steps. This needs to clarified by Applicant.

Claim 1 is drawn to a method of transforming a ...plant comprising (a) transforming embryo cells....

by step (i) recites "embryo tissue", step (ii) recites "embryos"

In claims 1, and 7, step (iii) "cocultivation for a period of 1-12 days" is confusing because the time of beginning of the cocultivation is not given. Does the time begin at step (i), or (ii) or at (iii), or at some other time?

What is a "preparation of Agrobacterium"? Is it a suspension?

Line 7, "the embryos" lacks antecedent basis.

Line 8, what is co-cultivated with what?

Line 9, "the transformed plant material" lacks antecedent basis

Line 11, "the transgenic plant cells" lacks antecedent basis Line

(b)(iii)

In claim 2, the phrase "and following this transformation, Allium tissue is regenerated by preferential selection" does not make sense here. It is suggested that this language be deleted.

Claim 7 has problems similar to claim 1: In line 4, "embryo tissue" lacks antecedent basis. In line 8, "the embryos" lacks antecedent basis. In line 16,

Art Unit: 1638

"transgenic cultures" of what" cells? embryo tissue? embryos? It is unclear what cells or tissue or explant is used in each step, when it came from and what it produces. This is a reason for the rejections based on lack of antecedent basis. Plant transformation methods, by necessity since they are carried out in vitro, follow an orderly sequence of steps. What is intended by "putative transgenic cultures" and how are they selected needs to clarified by Applicant.

In claim 10, line 1-2, "DNA conferring" needs to be inserted in front of "herbicide", and the language "DNA of interest" need to be deleted, for clarity.

In claim 11, line 2, "of interest is" needs to be replaced by "encodes", for clarity.

The phrase "DNA of interest" needs to be deleted—it is redundant and confusing.

In claim 12, "DNA encoding" needs to be inserted in front of "antibiotic", "DNA of interest is the" needs to be replaced by "encodes", and the final "DNA of interest" needs to be deleted, for clarity.

Claims 1 and 7, and all claims dependent thereon, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. A time duration for coculture (see step (b)(i)), the step(s) of wounding, the light/dark growth conditions where relevant, are essential steps and need to be set forth in the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, first paragraph

Art Unit: 1638

7. Claims 1-12 and 14 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. This rejection is maintained for the reasons of record as set forth in the Office Action mailed 20 April 2004. Applicant's arguments filed 09 September 2004 have been fully considered, but are not deemed persuasive.

To the extent that the rejection was made to the scope of all "Allium species plants" as opposed to "Allium cepa", the rejection is maintained in view of Applicant's amendments to the claims.

Reiterating, from the Office Action of 20 April 2004:

Applicant teaches (specification pages 5, lines 11 to page 6, line 14), the method outlined below:

- use immature embryos of Allium cepa L.,
- use of Agrobacterium LBA4404 bearing the binary vector pBIN-m-gfp-ER
  or other binary vector, Agrobacterium cultures grown to log phase, storage
  in glycerol at –80, overnight Agrobacterium cultures treated with 100 uM
  acetosyringone, cocultivation mix containing 200 uM acetosyringone.
- isolated immature embryos cut into 1mm sections and transferred to 0.8 ml Agrobacterium and vortexed for 30 seconds, embryo Agrobacterium mix vacuum infiltrated (20 in. Hg) for 30 min before blotting and transferring to solid P5 medium.
- cocultivation for 6 days, after which embryo pieces transferred to selection (geneticin, 10 mg/L; or basta 5 mg/l) plus timetin (200).
- embryo pieces cultured in the dark under the same conditions as (Eady, 1998), transferred to fresh medium every 2 weeks. After 3-4 transfers, material transferred to P5 medium + 25 mg/l geneticin or 5 mg/l Basta, and further grown for weeks.

Art Unit: 1638

 putative transformed plant tissue transferred to regeneration medium (Eady 1988).

 shoot cultures maintained for 12 weeks and developing shoots transferred to medium to induce rooting.

Page 6

While the specification can provide clarification of elements which are known to one skilled in the art, essential steps and conditions not known to one of ordinary skill in the art are unpredictable, and must be recited in the claims, this includes time duration, temperature and concentrations.

In this case, a time duration for coculture (see step (b)(i)), the step(s) of wounding, the light/dark growth conditions where relevant, are essential steps and need to be set forth in the claims.

Applicant is enabled for the scope of Allium cepa L. (onion) but not for the scope of all Allium plants, as discussed previously.

Applicant's claims, as of this present amendment, are in much better condition.

## Remarks

- 8. No claim is allowed.
- 9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 1638

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Georgia L. Helmer whose telephone number is 571-272-0976. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amy Nelson can be reached on 571-272-0804. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-272-8300.

Art Unit: 1638

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Georgia Helmer PhD Patent Examiner Art Unit 1638 December 26, 2004

BLIZABETH NICELWAIN
PRIMARY EXAMINER